Aspects of Quantum Cosmology

Sridip Pal IISER Kolkata 09MS002

November 6,2013

- Introduction
 - Why Quantum Cosmology
 - The problems in formulating Quantum Cosmology
- Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity
 - Writing Down the Hamiltonian
 - Introducing DeWitt Metric
- Superspace
 - Definition
 - Metrizing the Superspace
- 4 Homogeneous Closed Universe
 - Quantizing the Universe
- Small Quantum Subsystem
 - Arriving at Schrodinger Equation
 - Probability for Subsystem and its conservation
- Ahead of It!!



• Amalgamation of Quantum Theory and Cosmology.

- Amalgamation of Quantum Theory and Cosmology.
- The very early universe before the Planck Time scale when the length of the universe is order of Planck length.

- Amalgamation of Quantum Theory and Cosmology.
- The very early universe before the Planck Time scale when the length of the universe is order of Planck length.
- The thermodynamical arrow of time and its matching with cosmological arrow of time.

• When we study the evolution of universe time is alreday a coordinate

- When we study the evolution of universe time is alreday a coordinate
- We need to find a parameter which is timelike against which our universe will evolve.

- When we study the evolution of universe time is alreday a coordinate
- We need to find a parameter which is timelike against which our universe will evolve.
- We need to build up a configuration space for universe where we will define Quantum Dynamics.

- When we study the evolution of universe time is alreday a coordinate
- We need to find a parameter which is timelike against which our universe will evolve.
- We need to build up a configuration space for universe where we will define Quantum Dynamics.
- The definition of Probability is not so well formulated. Some anisotropic models reveal time dependent norm when we define probability in standard way

- When we study the evolution of universe time is alreday a coordinate
- We need to find a parameter which is timelike against which our universe will evolve.
- We need to build up a configuration space for universe where we will define Quantum Dynamics.
- The definition of Probability is not so well formulated. Some anisotropic models reveal time dependent norm when we define probability in standard way
- Our aim is to look for a suitable definition of probablity so as to alleviate this time dependence leading to Unitarity of evolution operator

• We foliate 4 dimensional spacetime manifold into spatial hypersurfaces labeled by a global time t.

 We foliate 4 dimensional spacetime manifold into spatial hypersurfaces labeled by a global time t.

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + h_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt)$$
 (1)

 We foliate 4 dimensional spacetime manifold into spatial hypersurfaces labeled by a global time t.

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + h_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt)$$
 (1)

Define extrinsic curvature of the hypersurface to be

$$K_{ij} = -n_{i;j} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(N_{i|j} + N_{j|i} - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial t} \right)$$
 (2)

where n_i is normal to the spacelike hypersurface.

 We foliate 4 dimensional spacetime manifold into spatial hypersurfaces labeled by a global time t.

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + h_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt)$$
 (1)

Define extrinsic curvature of the hypersurface to be

$$K_{ij} = -n_{i:j} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(N_{i|j} + N_{j|i} - \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial t} \right)$$
 (2)

where n_i is normal to the spacelike hypersurface.

$$S = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4 x \sqrt{-g} R_{(4)} + 2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d^3 x \sqrt{h} K \right] + S_{matter}$$
 (3)

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E = 99 C

$$S = \int dt L = \int d^4x N \sqrt{h} \left(K^{ij} K_{ij} - K^2 + R_{(3)} \right) + S_{matter}$$
 (4)

$$S = \int dt L = \int d^4x N \sqrt{h} \left(K^{ij} K_{ij} - K^2 + R_{(3)} \right) + S_{matter}$$
 (4)

$$\pi^{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{h}_{ii}} = \frac{-\sqrt{h}}{4\kappa^2} \left(K^{ij} - h^{ij} K \right) \tag{5}$$

$$S = \int dt L = \int d^4x N \sqrt{h} \left(K^{ij} K_{ij} - K^2 + R_{(3)} \right) + S_{matter}$$
 (4)

$$\pi^{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{h}_{ij}} = \frac{-\sqrt{h}}{4\kappa^2} \left(K^{ij} - h^{ij} K \right) \tag{5}$$

$$\pi^0 \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{N}} = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\pi^i \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{N}_i} = 0 \tag{7}$$

Writing Down the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\pi^0 \dot{N} + \pi^i \dot{N}_i + N\mathcal{H} + N_i \mathcal{H}^i \right) \tag{8}$$

Writing Down the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\pi^0 \dot{N} + \pi^i \dot{N}_i + N\mathcal{H} + N_i \mathcal{H}^i \right) \tag{8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\kappa^2} \left(G_0^0 - 2\kappa^2 T_0^0 \right)$$
 (9)
$$\mathcal{H}^i = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\kappa^2} \left(G^{0i} - 2\kappa^2 T^{0i} \right)$$
 (10)

$$\mathcal{H}^{i} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\kappa^{2}} \left(G^{0i} - 2\kappa^{2} T^{0i} \right) \tag{10}$$

Writing Down the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\pi^0 \dot{N} + \pi^i \dot{N}_i + N\mathcal{H} + N_i \mathcal{H}^i \right) \tag{8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\kappa^2} \left(G_0^0 - 2\kappa^2 T_0^0 \right) \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{i} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\kappa^{2}} \left(G^{0i} - 2\kappa^{2} T^{0i} \right) \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^i = 0 \tag{12}$$

Introducing DeWitt Metric

$$\mathcal{H} = 4\kappa^2 \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} - \frac{\sqrt{h}}{4\kappa^2} R_{(3)} - \sqrt{h} T_0^0$$
 (13)

Introducing DeWitt Metric

$$\mathcal{H} = 4\kappa^2 \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} - \frac{\sqrt{h}}{4\kappa^2} R_{(3)} - \sqrt{h} T_0^0$$
 (13)

$$\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} \left(h_{ik} h_{jl} + h_{il} h_{jk} - h_{ij} h_{kl} \right) \tag{14}$$

Introducing DeWitt Metric

$$\mathcal{H} = 4\kappa^2 \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} - \frac{\sqrt{h}}{4\kappa^2} R_{(3)} - \sqrt{h} T_0^0$$
 (13)

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} (h_{ik}h_{jl} + h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ij}h_{kl})$$
 (14)

• If we consider a phase space described by h_{ij} and π^{ij} ; \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} will provide a metric on that space

Superspace

Consider the space of all Riemannian 3-metrics and matter configuration on spatial hypersurfaces, Σ ,

$$Riem(\Sigma) \equiv \{h_{ij}, \Phi(x) : x \in \Sigma\}$$
 (15)

Superspace

Consider the space of all Riemannian 3-metrics and matter configuration on spatial hypersurfaces, Σ ,

$$Riem(\Sigma) \equiv \{h_{ij}, \Phi(x) : x \in \Sigma\}$$
 (15)

 All the geometry and configurations which are related to each other by mere co ordinate transformation are physically equivalent.

Superspace

Consider the space of all Riemannian 3-metrics and matter configuration on spatial hypersurfaces, Σ ,

$$Riem(\Sigma) \equiv \{h_{ij}, \Phi(x) : x \in \Sigma\}$$
 (15)

 All the geometry and configurations which are related to each other by mere co ordinate transformation are physically equivalent.

Hence **Superspace** is defined to be

$$Superspace(\Sigma) \equiv \frac{Riem(\Sigma)}{Diff(\Sigma)}$$
 (16)



Metrizing the Superspace

The DeWitt metric provides a metric on Superspace, given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{AB}(x) = \mathcal{G}_{(ij)(kl)}(x) \tag{17}$$

where $A, B \in \{h_{ij} : i \leq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

Metrizing the Superspace

The DeWitt metric provides a metric on Superspace, given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{AB}(x) = \mathcal{G}_{(ij)(kl)}(x) \tag{17}$$

where $A, B \in \{h_{ij} : i \leq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

ullet The inverse metric is given by \mathcal{G}^{AB} so that

$$\mathcal{G}^{(ij)(kl)}\mathcal{G}_{(kl)(mn)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_m^i \delta_n^j + \delta_n^i \delta_m^j \right) \tag{18}$$

Metrizing the Superspace

The DeWitt metric provides a metric on Superspace, given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{AB}(x) = \mathcal{G}_{(ij)(kl)}(x) \tag{17}$$

where $A, B \in \{h_{ij} : i \leq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

ullet The inverse metric is given by \mathcal{G}^{AB} so that

$$\mathcal{G}^{(ij)(kl)}\mathcal{G}_{(kl)(mn)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_m^i \delta_n^j + \delta_n^i \delta_m^j \right) \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{AB} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2} \left(h^{ik} h^{jl} + h^{il} h^{jk} - 2h^{ij} h^{kl} \right) \tag{19}$$

Superspace for Homogeneous Closed Universe

$$S = \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2N} \mathcal{G}_{AB} \dot{q}^A \dot{q}^B - NU(q) \right]$$
 (20)

where
$$U(q) = V(\Phi) - \frac{R_{(3)}}{4\kappa^2}$$



Superspace for Homogeneous Closed Universe

$$S = \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2N} \mathcal{G}_{AB} \dot{q}^A \dot{q}^B - NU(q) \right]$$
 (20)

where
$$U(q) = V(\Phi) - \frac{R_{(3)}}{4\kappa^2}$$

$$H = N \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^{AB} \pi_A \pi_B + U(q) \right] \tag{21}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^{AB}\pi_A\pi_B + U(q)\right] = 0 \tag{22}$$

Quantization and WKB approximation

$$\pi_{\mathsf{A}} \mapsto -\imath \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mathsf{A}}} \tag{23}$$

Quantization and WKB approximation

$$\pi_A \mapsto -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A} \tag{23}$$

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U\right)\psi(q) = 0 \tag{24}$$

Quantization and WKB approximation

$$\pi_A \mapsto -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A} \tag{23}$$

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U\right)\psi(q) = 0 \tag{24}$$

The conserved current is

$$j^{\alpha} = \frac{-i\hbar}{2} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\psi^* \nabla_{\beta} \psi - \psi \nabla_{\beta} \psi^* \right) \tag{25}$$

Probability

We take ansatz $\psi = A(q)e^{i\frac{S(q)}{\hbar}}$ to arrive

$$j^{\alpha} = |A|^2 \nabla^{\alpha} S \tag{26}$$

Probability

We take ansatz $\psi = A(q)e^{i\frac{S(q)}{\hbar}}$ to arrive

$$j^{\alpha} = |A|^2 \nabla^{\alpha} S \tag{26}$$

• We can single out one co-ordinate as time and define hypersurfaces by t = constant.

Probability

We take ansatz $\psi = A(q)e^{i\frac{S(q)}{\hbar}}$ to arrive

$$j^{\alpha} = |A|^2 \nabla^{\alpha} S \tag{26}$$

- We can single out one co-ordinate as time and define hypersurfaces by t=constant.
- ullet Now we choose hypersurfaces in such a fashion that $j^{lpha}d\Sigma_{lpha}>0$

Probability

We take ansatz $\psi = A(q)e^{i\frac{S(q)}{\hbar}}$ to arrive

$$j^{\alpha} = |A|^2 \nabla^{\alpha} S \tag{26}$$

- We can single out one co-ordinate as time and define hypersurfaces by t = constant.
- Now we choose hypersurfaces in such a fashion that $j^{\alpha}d\Sigma_{\alpha}>0$ Hence probability is defined to be

$$P = \int j^{\alpha} d\Sigma_{\alpha} \tag{27}$$



Consider a small quantum subsystem with $H_{q'}$ being the hamiltonian:

$$ds^{2} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}q^{\alpha}q^{\beta} + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha m}q^{\alpha}q'^{m} + \mathcal{G}_{mn}q'^{m}q'^{n}$$
 (28)

Consider a small quantum subsystem with $H_{q'}$ being the hamiltonian:

$$ds^{2} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}q^{\alpha}q^{\beta} + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha m}q^{\alpha}q'^{m} + \mathcal{G}_{mn}q'^{m}q'^{n}$$
 (28)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}(q,q') = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{0}(q) + O(\lambda)$$
 (29)

Consider a small quantum subsystem with $H_{q'}$ being the hamiltonian:

$$ds^{2} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}q^{\alpha}q^{\beta} + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha m}q^{\alpha}q'^{m} + \mathcal{G}_{mn}q'^{m}q'^{n}$$
(28)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}(q,q') = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{0}(q) + O(\lambda)$$
 (29)

 The subspace defined by subsystem is orthogonal to the subspace defined by coordinates of universe in the leading order.

Consider a small quantum subsystem with $H_{q'}$ being the hamiltonian:

$$ds^{2} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}q^{\alpha}q^{\beta} + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha m}q^{\alpha}q'^{m} + \mathcal{G}_{mn}q'^{m}q'^{n}$$
(28)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}(q,q') = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{0}(q) + O(\lambda)$$
 (29)

• The subspace defined by subsystem is orthogonal to the subspace defined by coordinates of universe in the leading order.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha m}(q, q') = O(\lambda) \tag{30}$$

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U - H_{q'}\right]\Psi = 0 \tag{31}$$

$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U - H_{q'}\right]\Psi = 0 \tag{31}$$

• Take ansatz $\Psi(q,q') = \psi(q)\chi(q,q')$



$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U - H_{q'}\right]\Psi = 0 \tag{31}$$

- Take ansatz $\Psi(q,q') = \psi(q)\chi(q,q')$
- Note $\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 U\right]\psi(q) = 0$



$$\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 - U - H_{q'}\right]\Psi = 0 \tag{31}$$

- Take ansatz $\Psi(q,q') = \psi(q)\chi(q,q')$
- Note $\left\lceil \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla^2 U \right\rceil \psi(q) = 0$

$$NH_{q'}\chi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \tag{32}$$

• For the universe $j^{\alpha}=|A|^2\hbar\nabla^{\alpha}S|\chi|^2=j_0^{\alpha}|\chi|^2$

- \bullet For the universe $j^{\alpha}=|A|^2\hbar\nabla^{\alpha}S|\chi|^2=j_0^{\alpha}|\chi|^2$
- For quantum subsystem, $j^m = \frac{-\imath\hbar}{2} |A|^2 \left(\chi^* \nabla^m \chi \chi \nabla^m \chi^* \right)$

- For the universe $j^{\alpha}=|A|^2\hbar\nabla^{\alpha}S|\chi|^2=j_0^{\alpha}|\chi|^2$
- For quantum subsystem, $j^m = \frac{-i\hbar}{2} |A|^2 \left(\chi^* \nabla^m \chi \chi \nabla^m \chi^* \right)$
- define $\rho_{\chi} = |\chi|^2$ and $j_{\chi}^m = \frac{-i\hbar}{2} \left(\chi^* \nabla^m \chi \chi \nabla^m \chi^* \right)$

- For the universe $j^{\alpha}=|A|^2\hbar\nabla^{\alpha}S|\chi|^2=j_0^{\alpha}|\chi|^2$
- For quantum subsystem, $j^m = \frac{-i\hbar}{2} |A|^2 \left(\chi^* \nabla^m \chi \chi \nabla^m \chi^* \right)$
- define $\rho_{\chi}=|\chi|^2$ and $j_{\chi}^m=\frac{-\imath\hbar}{2}\left(\chi^*\nabla^m\chi-\chi\nabla^m\chi^*\right)$

Normalisation condition yields

$$\int j_0^{\alpha} d\Sigma_{\alpha} \int \rho_{\chi} d\Omega_{q'} = 1 \tag{33}$$

• This sets normalisation condition on the probability of small quantum subsytem.

Probability conservation in Subsystem

$$\nabla_{\alpha}j^{\alpha} + \nabla_{m}j^{m} = 0 \tag{34}$$

• Write $j^{lpha}=(|A|^2\hbar
abla^{lpha}S)
ho_{\chi}$ and use $abla_{lpha}j_0^{lpha}=0$



Probability conservation in Subsystem

$$\nabla_{\alpha}j^{\alpha} + \nabla_{m}j^{m} = 0 \tag{34}$$

• Write
$$j^{\alpha} = (|A|^2 \hbar \nabla^{\alpha} S) \rho_{\chi}$$
 and use $\nabla_{\alpha} j_0^{\alpha} = 0$

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \rho_{\chi}}{\partial t} + \partial_{m} j_{\chi}^{m} = 0$$
(35)

Probability conservation in Subsystem

$$\nabla_{\alpha}j^{\alpha} + \nabla_{m}j^{m} = 0 \tag{34}$$

• Write $j^{\alpha} = (|A|^2 \hbar \nabla^{\alpha} S) \rho_{\chi}$ and use $\nabla_{\alpha} j_0^{\alpha} = 0$ $\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \rho_{\chi}}{\partial t} + \partial_{m} j_{\chi}^{m} = 0$ (35)

• Everything falls into place and we get back our standard QM result!!

What Lies Ahead

 The anisotropic Models, Bianchi-V and Bianchi-IX show nonunitarity upon a particular choice of time parameter.

What Lies Ahead

- The anisotropic Models, Bianchi-V and Bianchi-IX show nonunitarity upon a particular choice of time parameter.
- The DeWitt metric always has a signature of (-, +, +...). So we will try to take coordinate with opposite signature as time.

What Lies Ahead

- The anisotropic Models, Bianchi-V and Bianchi-IX show nonunitarity upon a particular choice of time parameter.
- The DeWitt metric always has a signature of (-,+,+...). So we will try to take coordinate with opposite signature as time.
- In that case the resulting equation may not be first order in time derivative unlike Schrodinger equation.

References

- An Introduction to Quantum Cosmology, D.L.Wiltshire, [arXiv:0101003v2[gr-qc]]
- D. Atkatz, Am. J. Phys, 62, 619 (1994)
- A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 39,1116 (1989) 2491 (1974)

Acknowledgement

The speaker acknowdeges a debt of gratitude to

 Prof. N. Banerjee, Dept. of Physical Sciences, IISER Kolkata for his valuable time and guidance.

THANK YOU